# 0 to ~80 in 90 minutes 

## a shallow intro to deep networks <br> Yoav Goldberg

NLPL Winter School 2020
"I do think that most participants will know the basics about embeddings, neural networks and loss functions (although the depth of their knowledge will vary, of course)."
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## Neural Networks

$$
f(0000)=000
$$

functions from vectors
to vectors

## Neural Networks


functions from vectors to probabilities
(these are still functions from vectors to vectors)

## Predicting from a vector

# Predict from a vector (Linear Layer) 



## Predict from a vector (Linear Layer)


$\mathbf{W} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{b}$


## Predict from a vector

 (Linear Layer + softmax)$$
p(y=? \mid \mathbf{x})
$$



$$
\operatorname{predict}(\mathbf{x})=\operatorname{softmax}(\mathbf{W} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{b})
$$

$\mathbf{W} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{b}$

$$
\operatorname{softmax}(\mathbf{x})_{[i]}=\frac{e^{\mathbf{x}_{[i]}}}{\sum_{j} e^{\mathbf{x}_{[j]}}}
$$

## Predict from a vector

 (Linear Layer + softmax)$$
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$$



$$
\operatorname{predict}(\mathbf{x})=\operatorname{softmax}(\mathbf{W} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{b})
$$

$$
\operatorname{softmax}(\mathbf{x})_{[i]}=\frac{e^{\mathbf{x}_{[i]}}}{\sum_{j} e^{\mathbf{x}_{[j]}}}
$$

(can still take the argmax, will yield same result)

## Predict from a vector (Linear Layer + softmax)

$$
p(y=? \mid \mathbf{x})
$$



## Training: <br> Learning as optimization

Data:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n}} \\
& \mathbf{y}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

( $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are vectors, why?)


1 softmax $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{b}$


Desired:


## Training:

# Learning as optimization 

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n}} \\
& \mathbf{y}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Desired:
$f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) \quad$ "that works well"

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Y} & =\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{1}}, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{n}} \\
\hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{\theta} & =f_{\theta}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{1}}\right), \ldots, f_{\theta}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{Y}, \hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{\theta}\right)
$$

loss function

## Training:

## Learning as optimization
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\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Y} & =\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{1}}, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{n}} \\
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$$
\underset{\text { loss function }}{\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{Y}, \hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{\theta}\right) \propto \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell\left(\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{i}}, f_{\theta}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)\right)} \begin{gathered}
\text { decomposed } \\
\text { over items }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Training:

## Learning as optimization

$$
\arg \min _{\theta} \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{Y}, \hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{\theta}\right)
$$

solved with gradient based methods

Desired:

$$
f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text { "that works well" }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Y} & =\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{1}}, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{n}} \\
\hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{\theta} & =f_{\theta}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{1}}\right), \ldots, f_{\theta}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}
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$$
\underset{\text { loss function }}{\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{Y}, \hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{\theta}\right) \propto \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell\left(\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{i}}, f_{\theta}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)\right)} \text { decomposed} \text { over items }
$$

## Training:

## cross-entropy loss

$$
\arg \min _{\theta} \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{Y}, \hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{\theta}\right) \propto \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell\left(\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{i}}, f_{\theta}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)\right)
$$

When prediction are "probabilities"

$$
\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{[k]}=P(y=k \mid \mathbf{x})
$$

$$
\ell_{\text {cross-ent }}=-\sum_{k} \mathbf{y}_{[k]} \log \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{[k]}
$$

for "hard" (0 or 1) labels:

$$
\ell_{\text {cross-ent }}=-\log \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{[t]}
$$

## Training:

## cross-entropy loss

## other loss functions are available. but not today.

$$
\arg \min _{\theta} \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{Y}, \hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{\theta}\right) \propto \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell\left(\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{i}}, f_{\theta}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)\right)
$$

When prediction are "probabilities"

$$
\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{[k]}=P(y=k \mid \mathbf{x})
$$

$$
\ell_{\text {cross-ent }}=-\sum_{k} \mathbf{y}_{[k]} \log \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{[k]}
$$

for "hard" (0 or 1) labels:

$$
\ell_{\text {cross-ent }}=-\log \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{[t]}
$$

## from (log) linear to MLP



Hypothesis classes: from (log) linear to MLP y softmax


|  | $\uparrow$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{b}$ | Linear |
|  | $\uparrow$ |
| $\mathbf{x}$ | $\uparrow$ |

## Hypothesis classes:

 from (log) linear to MLP

MLP (multi-layer perceptron)
is strictly more powerful than linear.
Can learn any borelmeasurable function (if large enough)

$$
\mathbf{W}^{1} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{b}^{1}
$$


the common ones




## Neural Network



Predicting from words

## Neural NLP Building Blocks

- Word Embeddings: translate a word to a vector.
- Ways of combining vectors.


# Word Embéddings 

10

5

diaes


## Word Embeddings

- Translate each word in the (fixed) vocabulary to a vector.
- Typical dimensions: 100-300
- Translation is done using a lookup table.
- Can be "pre-trained" (word2vec, glove)
- Dealing with "infinite" vocabularies:
- \{characters\}, \{word pieces, bpe\}, \{fastText\}


## Word Embeddings

- \{characters\}, \{word pieces, bpe\}, \{fastText\}



# Word Embeddings 

$\mathbf{v}_{b o o k}=\mathbf{E}[b o o k]$



## Combining Vectors
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## Combining Vectors

book a about read I


## Combining Vectors

Concatenate

I read
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I read a

```
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Sum (or average)
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I read
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order invariant

$\mathrm{W}^{2} \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{b}^{\mathbf{2}}$
$g(\mathbf{h})$
h
$\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{1}}$


## Linear

$\uparrow$

 $\uparrow$


## The Computation Graph

## Gradient-based training

- Computing the gradients:
- The network (and loss calculation) is a mathematical function.
$\ell(x, k)=-\log \left(\operatorname{softmax}\left(\mathbf{W}^{3} g^{2}\left(\mathbf{W}^{2} g^{1}\left(\mathbf{W}^{1} x+\mathbf{b}^{1}\right)+\mathbf{b}^{2}\right)+\mathbf{b}^{3}\right)[k]\right)$
- Calculus rules apply.
- (a bit hairy, but carefully follow the chain rule and you'll get there)


# The Computation Graph <br>  

- a DAG.
- Leafs are inputs (or parameters).
- Nodes are operators (functions).
- Edges are results (values).
- Can be built for any function.

$$
(a * b+1) *(a * b+2)
$$

## $M L P_{1}$



## $M L P_{1}$ with concrete input




- Create a graph for each training example.
- Once graph is built, we have two essential algorithms:
- Forward: compute all values.
- Backward (backprop): compute all gradients.



## Computing the Gradients (backprop)



- Consider the chain-rule (example on blackboard)
- Each node needs to know how to:
- Compute forward.
- Compute its local gradient. Landscape (partial)


## theano

## TensorFlow

## K

## Chainer

ay/net
PYTÖRCH

## TensorFlow

static graphs
dynamic graphs
Chainer
$\partial y / n e t$
PYTÖRCH

## TensorFlow

static graphs
dynamic graphs
Chainer
$\partial y /$ net pytorch

- automatic batching


## Network Training algorithm:

- For each training example (or mini-batch):
- Create graph for computing loss.
- Compute loss (forward).
- Compute gradients (backwards).
- Update model parameters.



## DyNet Example

\# model initialization.
model = Model()
mW1 = model.add_parameters( $(20,150)$ )
mb1 = model.add_parameters(20)
mW2 = model.add_parameters( $(17,20))$
mb2 = model.add_parameters(17)
lookup $=$ model.add_lookup_parameters ( 100,50 )
\# Building the computation graph:
renew_cg() \# create a new graph.
\# Wrap the model parameters as graph-nodes.
W1 = parameter (mW1)
b1 = parameter (mb1)
W2 = parameter (mW2)
b2 = parameter (mb2)
def get_index(x): return 1
\# Generate the embeddings layer.
vthe $=$ lookup[get_index("the")]
vblack = lookup[get_index("black")]
vdog = lookup[get_index("dog")]
\# Connect the leaf nodes into a complete graph.
$\mathrm{x}=$ concatenate([vthe, vblack, vdog])
output $=\operatorname{softmax}(W 2 *(\tanh (W 1 * x)+b 1)+b 2)$
loss $=-\log ($ pick(output, 5))
loss_value = loss.forward()

loss.backward() \# the gradient is computed
\# and stored in the corresponding
\# parameters.
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    # parameters.
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Back to Combining Vectors

## ConvNets

- "bags of ngrams".
- Useful!
(we'll probably skip them today)
$A R$
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(another way to represent text convolutions)

(another way to represent text convolutions)

(another way to represent text convolutions)



the actual service was not very good
(we'll focus on the 1-d view here, but remember they are equivalent)
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00000000
dot

the actual service was not very good
(usually also add non linearity)
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$\frac{1}{c}$ II
dot
0000000000000000000000000
the actual service was not very good
(can have larger filters)





## 00000000000000000000000000 the actual service was not very good

 we have the ngram vectors. now what?

#  <br> the actual service was not very good 

can do "pooling"
"Pooling"

Combine K vectors into a single vector

## "Pooling"

Combine K vectors into a single vector
This vector is a summary of the $K$ vectors, and can be used for prediction.

## average pooling



0000000000000000000000000 the actual service was not very good
max pooling


0000000000000000000000000 the actual service was not very good
max pooling
average vevェ・


the actual service was not very good
max over each dimension


00000000000000000000000000
the actual service was not very good

## train end-to-end for some task

(train the MLP, the filter matrix, and the embeddings together)

ALLEN INSTITUTE

## RNNs

## Combining Vectors

Recurrent Neural Network: RNN


## Combining Vectors

Recurrent Neural Network: RNN

## I read a book about



## Combining Vectors

I read a book about


## Combining Vectors
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\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{i}}=R N N\left(\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)
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1

read


4
$a$

I read a I read a book I read a book about



about

# Combining Vectors 

Recurrent Neural Network: RNN

$$
\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{i}}=R N N\left(\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)
$$



# Combining Vectors 

Recurrent Neural Network: RNN

$$
R_{S R N N}\left(\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)=\tanh \left(\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{1}}+\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)
$$



## Combining Vectors

Recurrent Neural Network: RNN

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{L S T M}\left(\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}\right) & =\left[\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}} ; \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{j}}\right] \\
\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}} & =\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{1}} \odot \mathbf{f}+\mathbf{g} \odot \mathbf{i} \\
\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{j}} & =\tanh \left(\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}}\right) \odot \mathbf{o} \\
\mathbf{i} & =\sigma\left(\mathbf{W}^{\mathrm{xi}} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}+\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{h i}} \cdot \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{1}}\right) \\
\mathbf{f} & =\sigma\left(\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{x f}} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}+\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{h f}} \cdot \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{1}}\right) \\
\mathbf{o} & =\sigma\left(\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{x o}} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}+\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{h o}} \cdot \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{1}}\right) \\
\mathbf{g} & =\tanh \left(\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{x g}} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}+\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{h g}} \cdot \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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\begin{aligned}
R_{L S T M}\left(\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}\right) & =\left[\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}} ; \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{j}}\right] \\
\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}} & =\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{1}} \odot \mathbf{f}+\mathbf{g} \odot \mathbf{i} \\
\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{j}} & =\tanh \left(\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}}\right) \odot \mathbf{o} \\
\mathbf{i} & =\sigma\left(\mathbf{W}^{\mathrm{xi}} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}+\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{h i}} \cdot \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{1}}\right) \\
\mathbf{f} & =\sigma\left(\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{x f}} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}+\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{h f}} \cdot \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{1}}\right) \\
\mathbf{o} & =\sigma\left(\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{x o}} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}+\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{h o}} \cdot \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{1}}\right) \\
\mathbf{g} & =\tanh \left(\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{x g}} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}+\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{h g}} \cdot \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

better controlled memory access

- The main idea behind the LSTM is that you want to somehow control the "memory access".
- In a SimpleRNN:

$$
R_{S R N N}\left(\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)=\tanh \left(\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{1}}+\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)
$$

read previous state memory
write new input

- All the memory gets overwritten


## Vector Gates

- We'd like to:
* Selectively read from some memory "cells".
* Selectively write to some memory "cells".


## Vector "Gates"

- We'd like to:
* Selectively read from some memory "cells".
* Selectively write to some memory "cells".
- A gate function:

gate controls access


## Vector "Gates"

- We'd like to:
* Selectively read from some memory "cells".
* Selectively write to some memory "cells".
- A gate function:

$$
\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{1}} \odot \mathbf{g} \quad \mathbf{g} \in\{0,1\}^{d}
$$

vector of values
gate controls access

## Vector "Gates"

- Using the gate function to control access:

$$
\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{i}} \leftarrow \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{1}} \odot \mathbf{g}^{\mathbf{r}}+\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}} \odot \mathbf{g}_{\text {which cells to write }}^{\mathbf{w}} \quad \mathbf{g} \in\{0,1\}^{d}
$$

which cells to read

## Vector "Gates"

- Using the gate function to control access:
which cells to read

$$
\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{i}} \leftarrow \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{1}} \odot \mathbf{g}^{\mathbf{r}}+\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}} \odot \mathbf{g}_{\text {which cells to write }}^{\mathbf{w}} \quad \mathbf{g} \in\{0,1\}^{d}
$$

- (can also tie them: $\mathbf{g}^{\mathbf{r}}=1-\mathbf{g}^{\mathbf{w}}$ )


## Vector "Gates"

$\left.\begin{array}{cc}{\left[\begin{array}{c}8 \\ 11 \\ 3 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ 15\end{array}\right]} & \leftarrow \\ \mathbf{s}^{\prime} & {\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1\end{array}\right] \odot\left[\begin{array}{l}10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15\end{array}\right]}\end{array}+\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0\end{array}\right] \odot\left[\begin{array}{l}8 \\ 9 \\ 3 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ 8\end{array}\right]$

## Differentiable "Gates"

- Problem with the gates:
* they are fixed.
* they don't depend on the input or the output.


## Differentiable "Gates"

- Problem with the gates: * they are fixed. * they don't depend on the input or the output.
- Solution: make them smooth, input dependent, and trainable.

"almost 1"
- The LSTM is a specific combination of gates.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{L S T M}\left(\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}\right)=\left[\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}} ; \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{j}}\right] \\
& \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}}=\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{1}} \odot \mathbf{f}+\mathbf{g} \odot \mathbf{i} \\
& \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{j}}=\tanh \left(\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}}\right) \odot \mathbf{o} \\
& \mathbf{i}=\sigma\left(\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{x i}} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}+\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{h i}} \cdot \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{1}}\right) \\
& \mathbf{f}=\sigma\left(\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{x f}} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}+\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{h f}} \cdot \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{1}}\right) \\
& \mathbf{o}=\sigma\left(\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{x o}} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}+\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{h o}} \cdot \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{1}}\right) \\
& \mathbf{g}=\tanh \left(\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{x g}} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}+\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{h g}} \cdot \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{1}}\right) \\
& O_{L S T M}\left(\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{j}}\right)=O_{L S T M}\left(\left[\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}} ; \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{j}}\right]\right)=\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Combining Vectors

Recurrent Neural Network: RNN

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{L S T M}\left(\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}\right) & =\left[\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}} ; \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{j}}\right] \\
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## Combining Vectors

Recurrent Neural Network: RNN



## Combining Vectors <br> multi-layer RNN



## Bi-RNN

keep intermediate vectors


## add right-to-left RNN <br> (bi-RNN)



## add right-to-left RNN <br> (bi-RNN)



## add right-to-left RNN <br> (bi-RNN)

Leyó el libro en cama


## Bi-RNN

## a representation of a word in context.

## add right-to-left RNN (bi-RNN)

Leyó el libro en cama
$\square 0$

Leyó el libro en cama
000

Leyó el libro en ca@̂a Leyó el libro en cama $\uparrow$


Leyó el libro en cama
000

cama

Training
RNN



# Training 

bi-RNN

| solution 1: |
| :---: |
| don't predict words. |
| predict tags. use as part fo larger network. |


bi-RNN

| solution 2: |
| :---: |
| single layer. skip word |


bi-RNN

| solution 2: |
| :---: |
| single layer. skip word |



## Training

## bi-RNN

solution 3: masking.


## Predict



## Training

## bi-RNN

| solution 3: |
| :---: |
| masking. |

## book

## Predict



## Training

bi-RNN

| solution 3: |
| :---: |
| masking. |

read


Predict


# Generation 

from RNN
He




# Generation 

from RNN


## Generation

from RNN


## Generation

from RNN


## Generation

from RNN


## Conditioned Generation

from RNN


## Conditioned Generation



## Conditioned Generation

condition
vector

| 000 | 000 | 000 | 000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Conditioned Generation

Table

| Name | Triton 52 |
| :---: | :---: |
| EcoRating | A+ |
| Family | L7 |

Encode
condition
vector


# Conditioned Generation 

Text

Leyó el libro en cama

Encode
condition
vector


ALLEN INSTITUTE
(or ARTFICIIAL INTELLIGENCE

00 Leyó el libro en cama

| RNN cell | RNN cell | RNN cell | RNN cell | RNN cell |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ |
| 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 0000 |
| Leyó | el | libro | en | cama |






## 㟧Seq2Seq + Attention

keep intermediate vectors


## 簘Seq2Seq + Attention

## as Bi-RNN


$A 12$
ALLEN INSTITUTE
for ARTIFICIAL INTELIGENCE
$A 12$
ALLEN INSTITUTE
Cor ARTFICIIALINTELIGENCE


He
$\uparrow$
Predict





weighted sum

## Transformer

## Attention Is All You Need

Ashish Vaswani*<br>Google Brain<br>avaswani@google.com<br>Noam Shazeer*<br>Google Brain<br>noam@google.com<br>Niki Parmar*<br>Google Research<br>nikip@google.com<br>Jakob Uszkoreit*<br>Google Research<br>usz@google.com

Llion Jones*<br>Google Research<br>llion@google.com

Lukasz Kaiser*
Google Brain
lukaszkaiser@google.com

Illia Polosukhin* $\ddagger$<br>illia.polosukhin@gmail.com

## Transformer

## replace RNN with attention-based mechanism

- Main concepts to know:
- Self-attention
- Multi-head attention
- Also think about: why do this? what is the motivation?


## Transformer

## Self attention

each token attends to all tokens in previous layer


## Transformer

## Self attention



## Transformer

## Self attention



## Transformer

## multi-head attention

## one attention pattern



## Transformer

## multi-head attention

## another attention pattern



## Transformer

## multi-head attention

why chose if we can just have several?


## Transformer

## Skip connections



## Cost vs Opportunity

- Consider a standard $d$ layer RNN from Lecture 13 with $k$ hidden units, training on a sequence of length $t$.

- There are $k^{2}$ connections for each hidden-to-hidden connection. A total of $t \times k^{2} \times d$ connections.
- We need to store all $t \times k \times d$ hidden units during training.
- Only $k \times d$ hidden units need to be stored at test time.


## Cost vs Obportunitv

- Consider a standard $d$ layer RNN from Lecture 13 with $k$ hidden units, training on a sequence of length $t$.

- Which hidden layers can be computed in parallel in this RNN?


## Cost vs Obportunitv

- Consider a standard $d$ layer RNN from Lecture 13 with $k$ hidden units, training on a sequence of length $t$.

- Which hidden layers can be computed in parallel in this RNN?


## Cost vs Opportunity

- Consider a standard $d$ layer RNN from Lecture 13 with $k$ hidden units, training on a sequence of length $t$.

- Both the input embeddings and the outputs of an RNN can be computed in parallel.
- The blue hidden units are independent given the red.
- The numer of sequential operation is still propotional to $t$.


## Cost vs Opportunity

RNN to Self-attention


## Cost vs Opportunity

 RNN to Self-attention

## Cost vs Opportunity <br> RNN to Self-attention



## Cost vs Opportunity

RNN to Self-attention


## Cost vs Opportunity <br> RNN to Self-attention



## Transformer

## Information flow

how do we pass information between the blue arrows?
 <br> \section*{\title{
Transformer
}} <br> \section*{\title{
Transformer
}}

VS
RNN case

## Information flow

how do we pass information between the blue arrows?


## Transformer

## Positional information



## Transformer

## Positional information





# Encoder abstarctions 



## Decoders

| Linear, MLP (predict) at single vector | one prediction |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | at each position |
| input length |  |

$A 12$


